

BIOSPECTRA POLYETHYLENE LINER STUDY

PRODUCT ASSESSMENT OF:

TRIS AND TRIS HYDROCHLORIDE

LINER ASSESSMENT OF:

SIERRA PACKAGING AND ILC DOVER

PACKAGING USE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE STANDARDS OF:

THE JOINT IPEC–PQG GOOD MANUFACTURING PRACTICE GUIDE ICH Q7 GOOD MANUFACTURING PRACTICE GUIDE

MANUFACTURED TO BE SUITABLE FOR USE IN THE PACKAGING OF:

EXCIPIENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	. 3
	Figure 1. LLDPE Liner Scan obtained by BioSpectra	3
	Figure 2. Typical LLDPE Spectrum (Source: spectroscopyonline.com)	4
	Figure 3. Amorphous Silica	4
	Figure 4. Talc	4
	Figure 5. IR scan of Sierra Packaging LLDPE Liner Green line is previously approved reference	5
	Red Line is testing of specific for approval	5
Ι	. Tris and Tris Hydrochloride Stability Assessment	. 6
	Table 1. Tris Stability Testing Program Analyses and Specifications	6
	Figure 6. IR Analysis – TRIS T=0 and T=36 Comparison	7
	Table 2. Tris HCl Stability Testing Program Analyses and Specifications	8
	Figure 7. IR Analysis – TRIS Hydrochloride T=0 and T=12 Comparison	9
Ι	I. Liner Loss on Ignition Study	10
	Table 3. Sierra and ILC Dover LOI Analysis Results	10
	Figure 8. IR Analysis – Sierra Liner	10
	Figure 9. IR Analysis – ILC Dover	11
Г	V. Conclusion	11

2 | Page

I. Introduction

BioSpectra has evaluated the current primary packaging used for Tris and Tris Hydrochloride (HCl) through the Supplier Approval Program and quality control testing. The current packaging for both products is linear low-density polyethylene liners, which contain no slip or antistatic additives. As stated by the Supplier, Sierra Packaging, the liners contain less than 5% of the anti-block additives talc (magnesium silicate hydrate) and diatomaceous earth (flux calcinated silica). These liners comply with applicable 21 CFR regulations, EU directives, and Food Contact requirements. Per Regulation EU No 10/2011 on plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with food, Talc and Diatomaceous earth are permitted for use as an additive or polymer production aid, with no restrictions.

BioSpectra performs IR analysis of poly liners samples during inspection for approval. The spectrum in Figure 1 below depicts the IR scan of a poly liner in comparison to a previously received liner used a reference. The peaks shown are consistent with those typical of LLDPE material, as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1. LLDPE Liner Scan obtained by BioSpectra









Figure 3. Amorphous Silica



Figure 4. Talc





Figure 5. IR scan of Sierra Packaging LLDPE Liner Green line is previously approved reference Red Line is testing of specific for approval





6 | Page

II. Tris and Tris Hydrochloride Stability Assessment

BioSpectra has performed long term/real time stability analysis of Tris packaged in these LLDPE liners over recurring periods of 36 months, with no significant change observed in the quality of the BioSpectra product. The following analyses in Table 1 were included in the Tris stability study with specifications as indicated.

Tuble 1. This Stubility Testing Trogram Analyses and Specifications		
Specification		
0.01 a.u. max @ 400nm		
0.06 a.u. max @ 280nm		
0.06 a.u. max @ 260nm		
White / Crystal		
99.0-101.0%		
0.5% max		
168-172°C		

Table 1. Tris Stability Testing Program Analyses and Specifications

BioSpectra also performed an IR analysis that compared T=0 and T=36 Tris that shows a correlation of 0.991149 with no new peaks observed on the spectra indicating continued suitability of the packaging as related to product quality. Refer to Figure 3. IR Analysis – TRIS T=0 and T=36 Comparison below.



Source Spectra				
Sample Name	Best Hit	Correlation	Pass / Fail	
TR1200-015-0318-PV T=36 2P.P SRM 3.25.21	R:\QC files\Spectrum 2 \TR1200-015-0318-PV T=0.sp	0.991149	Pass	

Compared References			
Sample Name	Correlation	Pass / Fail	
R:\QC files\Spectrum 2\TR1200-015- 0318-PV T=0.sp	0.991149	Pass	

Figure 6. IR Analysis – TRIS T=0 and T=36 Comparison



BioSpectra has performed long term/real time stability analysis of Tris HCl packaged in these LLDPE liners over recurring periods of 36 months, with no significant change observed in the quality of the BioSpectra product. The following analyses in Table 2 were included in Tris HCl stability study with specifications as indicated. Tris HCl product codes are listed where the specification varies depending upon the finished good product code.

Analysis	Specification			
		0.01 max @ 400nm		
	TH7201, TH3203	0.06 max @ 280nm		
Absorbance (1M)		0.06 max @ 260nm		
	TU7202	0.02 max @ 280nm		
	117/202	0.02 max @ 260nm		
Appearance and Color	TH7201, TH3203	White/Crystals		
	TH7201	99.0-103.0%		
Assay	TH7202	99.0% min		
	TH3203	99.5% min		
Identity (IR)	Passes Test			
Loss on Draing	TH7201, TH7203	0.5% max @ 105°C		
	TH7202	0.4% max @ 110°C		
Malting Danga	TH7201	147-153°C		
Mening Range	TH3203	150-153°C		
	TH7201 (0.5M)	3.5 - 5.0		
pH	TH7202 (1.0M)	3.7 – 4.7		
	TH3203 (0.5M)	4.0 - 5.0		

Table 2. Tris HCl Stability Testing Program Analyses and Specifications

BioSpectra also performed an IR analysis that compared T=0 and T=12 Tris Hydrochloride for a more recent lot that shows a correlation of 0.997797 with no new peaks observed on the spectra indicating continued suitability of the packaging as related to product quality. Refer to Figure 4. IR Analysis – TRIS Hydrochloride T=0 and T=12 Comparison below.

The information contained herein is the property of BioSpectra. The recipient is responsible for its safe-keeping and the prevention of unauthorized appropriation, use, disclosure, copying or alteration. Decision based on the use of this information is the sole responsibility and liability of the recipient. If you would like a controlled version of this document, please contact info@biospectra.us

8 | Page



Compared References			
Sample Name	Correlation	Pass / Fail	
C:\pel_data\spectra\TH7202-022- 0620-PV t=12 PP ARS 6.28.21.sp	0.997797	Pass	

Figure 7. IR Analysis - TRIS Hydrochloride T=0 and T=12 Comparison

9 | Page



III. Liner Loss on Ignition Study

BioSpectra's Stability Manager has also performed Loss on Ignition (LOI) testing for the Sierra and ILC Dover Liner. The analysis concluded that the Sierra Liner has Anti-block at levels 1.32% or 13187ppm and the ILC Dover has 0.48% or 4841ppm. The Sierra Liner has 0.84% or 8346ppm more Anti-block than the ILC Dover Liner. However, both samples are still less than 2% total filler.



Table 3. Sierra and ILC Dover LOI Analysis Results

PerkinElmer Spectrum IR ES Version 10.6.2 Tuesday, December 14, 2021 8:25 AM

Listof Peak Area/Height				
Peak Number	X (cm-1)	Y (%T)		
1	2916.29	33.83		
2	2848.55	39.56		
3	1472.47	78.48		
4	1463.04	74.47		
5	1377.40	95.59		
6	1018.03	94.78		
7	729.96	79.79		
8	719.26	73.73		

Figure 8. IR Analysis – Sierra Liner



Source Special Results			
Spectrum Name	Number Of Peaks		
ILC Dover EZ Pack RH 12.14.21	11		

erkinElmer	Spectrum	IR ES	Version	10.6.2	
Tupede	av Decem	bor 14	2021.8	-27 AM	

Р

List of Peak Area/Height				
Peak Number	X (cm-1)	Y (%T)		
1	2916.65	33.17		
2	2848.99	40.38		
3	1705.65	96.53		
4	1641.80	95.51		
5	1463.31	74.55		
6	1376.89	90.35		
7	1110.42	82.95		
8	963.78	94.28		
9	842.43	95.35		
10	729.74	84.35		
11	719.40	76.52		

Figure 9. IR Analysis - ILC Dover

IV. Conclusion

BioSpectra can conclude that the LLDPE liners, supplied by Sierra Packaging, compliant to applicable US FDA and EU regulations, tested and approved by BioSpectra are suitable for use in the packaging and storage of Tris and Tris HCl based on the results reported herein. For further information, please contact info@biospectra.us

11 | Page